

**TEMPLATE CHILD SAFETY RISK REGISTER** 



1

# INSTRUCTIONS

Child Safe Standard 6 requires schools to develop, implement, monitor and evaluate risk management strategies to ensure child safety in school environments. A risk assessment register will help schools comply with Child Safe Standard 6. This is a template risk register only. This means that all schools must make their own school-specific assessment and fill in the register according to their own specific environment. Schools that do not adapt and contextualise their child safety risk register may be found to be non-compliant with Child Safe Standard 6.

The purpose of a child safety risk register is to identify, document, and manage or control child safety risks in the school environment. It is not always possible to eliminate risks to child safety. Rather, the Department expects that schools will manage and monitor any risks and regularly review their risk assessment. Risk management is not intended to interfere with the school's role in providing educational benefits for children and young people. Where possible, risks should be controlled instead of a school ceasing a beneficial activity altogether.

Before completing the child safety risk register, you should:

- 1. Consult with school staff, students and the school community (including families, volunteers and school council) about any risks they have identified. You may be able to do this through existing channels, including school council, parent club, or Student Representative Council
- 2. Consider risks in the physical school environment
- 3. Consider risks in the online school environment
- Consider risks from, for example: excursions, camps, homestays, student workplace learning, and other activities held off the school site 4.
- 5. Consider risks that might be specific to vulnerable cohorts, including Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander children, children from culturally and linguistically diverse backgrounds, children with disability, and international education students.

When completing the child safety risk register, you should:

- 1. **Remove** any risks that are **not relevant** to your school
- 2. Add further risks that are relevant to your school
- 3. Add existing risk management strategies that your school is already undertaking and remove any that your school is not already undertaking
- 4. **Choose** the current risk assessment ratings that apply to the risk in your school
- 5. Where the current risk rating is low and the existing risk management strategies are effective, you do not have to undertake new risk management strategies
- Where the current risk rating is **medium or above**, **add** new risk management strategies that your school will undertake 6.
- 7. Add the position title of the person in your school who will be responsible for the risk management strategy
- 8. Add a date (and diarise) the next review of the risk register (it is recommended that Extreme and High risk are monitored, reviewed and reported on annually)
- 9. The principal should sign the risk register.

You can contact the DET Risk team at risk.in.education@edumail.vic.gov.au for help to complete this risk register.

All information highlighted in green is for instructional purposes only, and should be removed from the final document.

Important - All information in this register is *example only* and must be tailored to suit the school event

# School: Clarinda Primary School

Responsible staff member: (name of person completing the form)

Approved by school principal:

**Register name: Child safety risk register** (Child Safe Standard 6)

# Date reviewed 17<sup>th</sup> October 2019

Risk Title & Description	Risk Causes & Consequences	Existing risk management strategies (existing controls)	Curr	ent Risk Assess	ment	New risk management strategies (treatments)	Who is responsible?	Date of new risk management strategies (treatments)
Define the risk including a title and a short description What can go wrong?	Describe the risk cause/s and consequence/s What would cause it to go wrong? (causes) What are the impacts if it does go wrong? (consequences)	Describe any existing policy, procedure, practice or device that acts to minimise the risk What is in place now that reduces the likelihood of this risk occurring or its impact if it did occur?	Current Risk Consequen ce How big would the impact of this risk be if it occurred? (choose one)	Current Risk Likelihood How likely is this risk to occur? (choose one)	Current Risk Rating What is the current risk level based on the risk rating matrix (below, page 9)?	Describe the actions to be undertaken for those risks requiring further treatments	List who is responsible for each new treatment (noting that the principal is ultimately responsible)	The date the treatment action should be completed by April 2020
<b>Risk Title</b> Child abuse is not reported <b>Risk short description</b> There is a risk that the school does not report child safety concerns	<ul> <li>Causes <ul> <li>Lack of reporting protocols</li> <li>Staff not aware of reporting protocols</li> <li>Staff not aware of their reporting obligations</li> <li>Familiarity of relationships</li> <li>Poor child safety culture</li> </ul> </li> <li>Consequences <ul> <li>Child safety incident occurs</li> <li>Underreporting of child safety incidents to relevant authorities</li> <li>Inappropriate behaviour not reported within school</li> <li>Physical or psychological injury</li> <li>Stress for all personnel involved, which could lead to occupational health and safety issues</li> <li>Criminal penalties: <ul> <li>Failure to Report and/or Failure to Protect offence,</li> <li>Penalties under the Reportable Conduct Scheme</li> </ul> </li> <li>Non-compliance with minimum standards/Min Order 870</li> <li>Breach of duty of care/organisational duty of care</li> <li>Litigation / adverse court ruling</li> <li>Reputation damage (School/Department)</li> <li>Community dissatisfaction and distrust over perceived child safety neglect, which could lead to drop in school enrolments</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ol> <li><u>Child safety policy and statement</u> of commitment.</li> <li>Child safety <u>code of conduct</u>.</li> <li><u>Procedures for responding to and</u> <u>reporting suspected child abuse</u> are developed and implemented</li> <li><u>Recording your actions:</u> <u>responding to suspected child</u> <u>abuse template</u> is readily available to all staff</li> <li>Performance management procedures are in place.</li> </ol>	Severe Major Moderate Minor Insignifican t	Almost certain Likely Possible Unlikely Rare	Extreme High Medium Low	<ol> <li>Train students, staff and volunteers (including homestay providers) to identify inappropriate behaviour and indicators of abuse, and escalate concerns</li> <li>Ensure all school mandatory reporters (and other school staff if possible) undertake the <u>Protecting Children</u>- <u>Mandatory Reporting and other</u> <u>Obligations online module</u> annually</li> <li>Develop and deliver training to the principal, school council and staff about:         <ul> <li>individual and collective obligations and responsibilities for managing the risk of child abuse;</li> <li>child abuse risks in the school environment; and</li> <li>the school's current child safety standards</li> </ul> </li> <li>Inclusion of child safety obligations in staff position descriptions.</li> <li>Child safety is a discussion item on School Leadership Team meetings and staff meetings.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>Robbie Mallett (Principal)</li> <li>Karen Mackay (Assistant Prin)</li> </ol>	April 2020 or as
<b>Risk Title</b> Child safety incident due to a child being unsupervised in the school environment	<ul> <li>Causes</li> <li>School fails to monitor who is on the premises</li> <li>Lack of student supervision</li> </ul>	1. <u>human resources practices for</u> <u>child safe organisations</u> are implemented	Severe Major	Almost certain	<mark>Extreme</mark> High	<ol> <li>Develop and implement policies and procedures to reduce situations where a child may be unsupervised including during recreational or other activities</li> </ol>	1. Robbie Mallett (Principal)	

<b>Risk short description</b> There is the risk of a child safety incident when a child is unsupervised including during recreational or other activities	<ul> <li>Lack of appropriate risk management practices in place for recreational or other activities</li> <li>Inappropriate building design</li> <li>Consequences</li> <li>Child safety incident occurs</li> <li>Underreporting of child safety incidents to relevant authorities</li> <li>Inappropriate behaviour not reported within school</li> <li>Physical or psychological injury</li> <li>Stress for all personnel involved, which could lead to occupational health and safety issues</li> <li>Criminal penalties:         <ul> <li>Failure to Report and/or Failure to Protect offence,</li> <li>Penalties under the Reportable Conduct Scheme</li> </ul> </li> <li>Non-compliance with minimum standards/Min Order 870</li> <li>Breach of duty of care/organisational duty of care</li> <li>Litigation / adverse court ruling</li> <li>Reputation damage (School/Department)</li> <li>Community dissatisfaction and distrust over perceived child safety neglect, which could lead to drop in school enrolments</li> </ul>	2. 3. 4.	Organised 'Yard duty' for teachers before, during and after school <u>Staff to student ratios</u> are observed. Visitor and contractor sign-in process, perimeter control (SPAG – <u>Visitors in Schools</u> ).	Moderate Minor Insignifican t	Likely Possible Unlikely Rare	Medium Low	<ol> <li>CCTV for unsupervised areas and 'hot spot' areas</li> <li>Clear windows in walls to enable visibility of occupants</li> <li>Non-lockable doors in hot spots</li> <li>Assessment of new or changed physical environments for child safety risks</li> <li>Restrict or block off access to isolated, internally lockable, hidden or dark rooms or environments at the school</li> <li>Child safety is a discussion item in School Leadership Team meetings and staff meetings.</li> <li>Regular reminders to staff on this risk during School Leadership Team meetings and staff meetings.</li> <li>Adopt <u>child safety risk management</u> <u>strategies</u> as appropriate.</li> </ol>	2. Karen Mackay (Assistant Prin)	
Risk Title         Unsafe online environment         Risk short description         There is the risk of a child safety incident in an online environment	Causes <ul> <li>Online child safety issues (including grooming) via media services: <ul> <li>email</li> <li>Facebook, Instagram, Twitter and other social media</li> <li>YouTube</li> <li>mobile phone SMS messages and other mobile messaging media</li> <li>telephone, Skype and other media for making voice calls</li> <li>photography and videography</li> <li>any other electronic media.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Consequences <ul> <li>Child safety incident occurs</li> <li>Underreporting of child safety incidents to relevant authorities</li> <li>Inappropriate behaviour not reported within school</li> <li>Physical or psychological injury</li> <li>Stress for all personnel involved, which could lead to occupational health and safety issues</li> <li>Criminal penalties: <ul> <li>Failure to Report and/or Failure to Protect offence,</li> <li>Penalties under the Reportable Conduct Scheme</li> </ul> </li> <li>Non-compliance with minimum standards/Min Order 870</li> <li>Breach of duty of care/organisational duty of care</li> <li>Litigation / adverse court ruling</li> <li>Reputation damage (School/Department)</li> <li>Community dissatisfaction and distrust over perceived child safety neglect, which could lead to drop in school enrolments</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9.	School online policy and procedures, including <u>Digital</u> <u>Technologies policy</u> Child Safety <u>Code of Conduct</u> Use of school ' <u>Acceptable Use</u> <u>Agreement'</u> Use of an internet filter where required Use of <u>Interactive Learning</u> <u>Modules</u> regarding bullying Appropriate <u>supervision</u> for all online activities Response protocols implemented Ongoing awareness of the school's online policies and procedures Ongoing review of control effectiveness and improvements instigated as required.	Severe Major Moderate Minor Insignifican t	Almost certain Likely Possible Unlikely Rare	Extreme High Medium Low	<ol> <li>Train students and staff to identify inappropriate behaviour (including grooming) and indicators of abuse, and escalate concerns</li> <li>Develop strategies to deliver appropriate education to students (see <u>A Guide to</u> <u>Support Victorian Schools to Meet Child</u> <u>Safe Standard 7</u> and <u>Empowerment and</u> <u>participation of children</u>) about:         <ul> <li>a. standards of behaviour for students attending the school;</li> <li>b. healthy and respectful relationships (including sexuality);</li> <li>c. resilience; and</li> <li>d. child abuse awareness and prevention.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Become an <u>eSmart School</u></li> <li>Prepare curriculum plans that explicitly teach safe, responsible and ethical online behaviours.</li> <li>Organise for the school to take the <u>Bully Stoppers pledge</u></li> <li>Develop newsletter for parents with information and links to protect their children in the online environment (see <u>eSafety Commissioner resources for</u> <u>parents and carers).</u></li> </ol>	<ol> <li>Robbie Mallett (Principal)</li> <li>Karen Mackay (Assistant Prin)</li> </ol>	April 2020 or as required
<b>Risk Title</b> Contractor(s) on the premises commit abuse <b>Risk short description</b> There is the risk that contractors commit abuse	<ul> <li>Causes</li> <li>School fails to monitor who is on the premises</li> <li>Lack of supervision</li> <li>Contractors not aware of school arrangements</li> <li>Lack of background checks on contractors</li> <li>Consequences</li> <li>Child safety incident occurs</li> <li>Underreporting of child safety incidents to relevant authorities</li> <li>Inappropriate behaviour not reported within school</li> <li>Physical or psychological injury</li> <li>Stress for all personnel involved, which could lead to occupational health and safety issues</li> </ul>	1. 2. 3. 4. 5.	The <u>Visitors in Schools</u> policy is followed where appropriate Screening checks, including working with children checks or referee checks Visitor and contractor sign-in process, perimeter control (SPAG – <u>Visitors in Schools</u> ) Signage at school office clearly directing visitors to reception <u>child safety risk management</u> <u>strategies</u> are adopted as appropriate.	Severe Major Moderate Minor Insignificant	Almost certain Likely Possible Unlikely Rare	Extreme High Medium Low	<ol> <li>Raise topic in staff meetings.</li> <li>Awareness sessions for students.</li> <li>Refresher training for frequent contractors.</li> <li>All contractors supervised whilst on school grounds.</li> <li>Staff trained to question unaccompanied visitors on school premises.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>Robbie Mallett (Principal)</li> <li>Karen Mackay (Assistant Prin)</li> </ol>	April 2020 or as required

<ul> <li>Criminal penalties:         <ul> <li>Failure to Report and/or Failure to Protect offence,</li> <li>Penalties under the Reportable Conduct Scheme</li> </ul> </li> <li>Non-compliance with minimum standards/Min Order 870</li> <li>Breach of duty of care/organisational duty of care</li> <li>Litigation / adverse court ruling</li> <li>Reputation damage (School/Department)</li> <li>Community dissatisfaction and distrust over perceived child safety neglect, which could lead to drop in school enrolments</li> </ul>							
<ul> <li>Causes</li> <li>Circumvention of proper pre-employment procedures, including no background/suitability checks</li> <li>A non-compliant recruitment process</li> <li>Non-compliance with relevant policies and procedures including conflict of interest policy</li> <li>Unethical behaviour</li> <li>Lack of child safety culture</li> </ul> Consequences <ul> <li>Child safety incident occurs</li> <li>Underreporting of child safety incidents to relevant authorities</li> <li>Inappropriate behaviour not reported within school</li> <li>Physical or psychological injury</li> <li>Stress for all personnel involved, which could lead to occupational health and safety issues</li> <li>Criminal penalties: <ul> <li>Failure to Report and/or Failure to Protect offence,</li> <li>Penalties under the Reportable Conduct Scheme</li> </ul> </li> <li>Non-compliance with minimum standards/Min Order 870</li> <li>Breach of duty of care/organisational duty of care</li> <li>Litigation / adverse court ruling</li> <li>Reputation damage (School/Department)</li> <li>Community dissatisfaction and distrust over perceived child safety neglect, which could lead to drop in school enrolments</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>The <u>DET Recruitment in Schools</u> <u>guide</u> is followed, including appropriate screening and referee checks for preferred candidate.</li> <li>Child safety <u>Code of Conduct</u></li> <li>Child Safety Policy</li> <li><u>Suitability Checks for School</u> <u>Volunteers and Visitors</u></li> <li>Visitors policy is followed</li> <li>Performance and development and review processes with regular feedback to provide opportunities to discuss any concerns</li> <li><u>human resources practices for</u> <u>child safe organisations</u> are implemented</li> <li>Staff management practices as highlighted in the HRWeb <u>Workforce Planning policy</u> are in place</li> <li>Regular staff meetings and Principal 1:1 meetings with staff</li> <li><u>Manager Assist</u> telephone advisory service</li> <li>If required refer to HRWeb <u>Complaints, unsatisfactory</u> <u>performance and misconduct</u></li> <li><u>School duty of care</u></li> <li><u>child safety risk management</u> <u>strategies</u> are adopted as appropriate.</li> </ol>	Severe Major Moderate Minor Insignificant	Almost certain Likely Possible Unlikely Rare	Extreme High Medium Low	<ol> <li>Ensure that staff are regularly reminded of their child safety obligations and undergo refresher training</li> <li>Thorough induction process</li> <li>Leadership model child safe culture</li> <li>Appropriate and inappropriate behaviours are discussed regularly at staff meetings so that staff are empowered to escalate concerns</li> <li>Principal <u>Performance and development</u></li> <li>Ongoing monitoring and review of staff and student work practices and behaviours for 'warning signals' and indicators of abuse – see <u>Identifying and Responding to All Forms of Abuse in Victorian Schools</u></li> <li>Child safety standard discussion item on School Leadership Team meetings and staff meetings.</li> <li>Ensure all school mandatory reporters (and other school staff if possible) undertake the <u>Protecting Children - Mandatory Reporting and other</u> <u>Obligations online module</u> annually</li> <li>Develop strategies to deliver appropriate education to students (see <u>A Guide to Support Victorian Schools to Meet Child Safe Standard 7</u> and <u>Empowerment and participation of children</u>) about:         <ul> <li>a. standards of behaviour for students attending the school;</li> <li>b. healthy and respectful relationships (including sexuality);</li> <li>c. resilience; and</li> <li>d. child abuse awareness and prevention</li> </ul> </li> </ol>	1. Robbie Mallett (Principal) 2. Karen Mackay (Assistant Prin)	April 2020 or as required
<ul> <li>Causes</li> <li>Lack of awareness of local conditions (unknown people and environments)</li> <li>School fails to monitor who is in vicinity of school camps / excursions</li> <li>Lack of supervision</li> <li>Inappropriate student behaviour</li> <li>Failure to consider child safety risks</li> <li>Lack of appropriate risk management practices in place for recreational or other activities</li> <li>Consequences</li> <li>Child safety incident occurs</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>Appropriate school approvals for excursions/camps including risk assessment</li> <li>Team Leader(s) upon arrival at commercial camp sites conducts briefing with camp site authorities/staff to confirm site arrangements or any updates regarding local conditions</li> <li>Team Leader(s) following briefing with authorities brief teaching / support staff of any updates</li> </ol>	Severe Major Moderate Minor Insignificant	Almost certain Likely Possible Unlikely	<mark>Extreme</mark> High Medium Low	<ol> <li>Team Leader(s) to conduct an end day (each day) debrief to identify any issues arising and to lead the development of treatment solutions.</li> <li>Specific child safety briefing for excursion/camp staff and volunteers</li> <li>Avoid staff members/volunteers being alone with students</li> <li>Child safety considered when determining sleeping arrangements.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>Robbie Mallett (Principal)</li> <li>Karen Mackay (Assistant Prin)</li> </ol>	April 2020 or as required
	<ul> <li>Failure to Report and/or Failure to Protect offence,</li> <li>Penalties under the Reportable Conduct Scheme</li> <li>Non-compliance with minimum standards/Min Order 870</li> <li>Breach of duty of care/organisational duty of care</li> <li>Litigation / adverse court ruling</li> <li>Reputation damage (School/Department)</li> <li>Community disastisfaction and distrust over perceived child safety neglect, which could lead to drop in school enrolments</li> </ul> <b>Causes</b> <ul> <li>Circumvention of proper pre-employment procedures, including no background/suitability checks</li> <li>A non-compliance with relevant policies and procedures including conflict of interest policy</li> <li>Unethical behaviour</li> <li>Lack of child safety culture</li> </ul> <b>Consequences</b> <ul> <li>Child safety incident occurs</li> <li>Underreporting of child safety incidents to relevant authorities</li> <li>Inappropriate behaviour not reported within school</li> <li>Physical or psychological injury</li> <li>Stress for all personnel involved, which could lead to occupational health and safety issues</li> <li>Criminal penalties:         <ul> <li>Failure to Report and/or Failure to Protect offence,</li> <li>Penalties under the Reportable Conduct Scheme</li> </ul>  Non-compliance with minimum standards/Min Order 870 Breach of duty of care/organisational duty of care Litigation / adverse court ruling Reputation damage (School/Department) Community dissatisfaction and distrust over perceived child safety neglect, which could lead to drop in school enrolments Lack of awareness of local conditions (unknown people and environments) <ul> <li>School fails to monitor who is in vicinity of school camps / excursions</li> <li>Lack of supervision</li> <li>Inappropriate takentowing</li> <li>Failure to consider child safety risks</li> <li>Lack of appropriate risk management</li></ul></li></ul>	<ul> <li>- Failure to Report and/or Failure to Protect offence,</li> <li>- Prenaties under the Reportable Conduct Scheme</li> <li>Non-compliance with minimum standards/Min Order 870</li> <li>Breach of duty of care/organisational duty of care</li> <li>Litigation damage (School/Department)</li> <li>Community dissatification and distrust over perceived child safety neglect, which could lead to drop in school enrolments</li> <li><b>Causes</b> <ol> <li>The <u>DET Recruitment in Schools</u> gained is followed, including appropriate screening and referee checks for preferred candidate.</li> <li>Child safety receivent policies and procedures including conflict of interest policy</li> <li>Undertise behaviour</li> <li>Lack of child safety incident occurs</li> <li>Undertise behaviour not reported within school</li> <li>Physical or psychological injury</li> <li>Stress for all personnel involved, which could lead to occupational health and safety issues</li> <li>Criminal penalties:                  <ul></ul></li></ol></li></ul>	<ul> <li>• Failure to Report and/or Failure to Protect offence,</li> <li>• Praidus under the Reportable Conduct Scheme</li> <li>• Non-compliance with minimum standards/Min Order 870</li> <li>• Heach of duty of care/organisational duty of care</li> <li>• Litigation / adverse court ruling</li> <li>• Community dissublication and distruct over perceived child safety</li> <li>• Consequences</li> <li>• Non-compliance with minimum standards/Min Order 870</li> <li>• Physical copart and/or Failure to Protect offence,</li> <li>• Penalties under the Reportable Conduct Scheme</li> <li>• Non-compliance with minimum standards/Min Order 870</li> <li>• Breakt of duty of care/organisational duty of care</li> <li>• Itigation / adverse court ruling</li> <li>• Reputation damage (School Department)</li> <li>• Community disstification and distrut so ver perceived child safety</li> <li>• Community disstification and distrut so ver perceived child safety</li> <li>• Consequences</li> <li>• Failure to Consequenc</li></ul>	<ul> <li>- <sup>1</sup> railure to Report and/or Failure to Protect offerec.</li> <li>- Preaties under the Reportable Conduct Scheme</li> <li>Non compliance with minimus tandard/Min Order 570</li> <li>Breach of duty of car/organisational duty of care</li> <li>- Lingation / adverse court ruling</li> <li>- Community dissatisfaction and distrust over perceived child safety meglect, which could lead to drop in school enrolments</li> <li>- The DET Recruitment in Schools and recruitment procedures, including no background/subability checks</li> <li>- Anno-compliant recruitment process</li> <li>- Non-compliant recruitment process</li> <li>- Non-compliant recruitment process</li> <li>- Non-compliant recruitment process</li> <li>- Child Safety Folder</li> <li>- Underreporting of child safety indents to relevant authorities</li> <li>- Child Safety foldent occurs</li> <li>- Underreporting of child safety indents to relevant authorities</li> <li>- Stress for all personnel involved, which could lead to occupational heads of prostore proceed with child conder S70</li> <li>- Resputation disperson the Node with child conder S70</li> <li>- Resputation disperson the Node and distrust compares of force and proceed involved conders S50</li> <li>- Stress for duty of car/organisational duty of care</li> <li>- Resputation disperson the Node and dutture to protect offerece, application and distrust source parented in Noder S70</li> <li>- Resputation disperson the Node Internet and Store and adverse andverse and adverse andverse and adverse and adverse andverse</li></ul>	<ul> <li>- inslure to Report and/or raisure to Protect offence,</li> <li>- Non-compliance with minimum standard/Min Order 8/0</li> <li>- Resput and mang (School/Department)</li> <li>- Community disstatifaction and distruction procedures, including on background/untable yckets as as a more compliance with related to drop in school enrolments</li> <li>- Causer</li> <li>- Causer</li> <li>- Causer</li> <li>- Community disstatifaction and distruction procedures, including on background/untable yckets as as a more compliance with related to drop in school enrolments</li> <li>- Child Safey Policy</li> <li>- Unchical behaviour</li> <li>- Under Ender School (School (</li></ul>	<ul> <li>• Tailing to Resonand/or failure to Protect offence,</li> <li>• Training of analysis of the Resonand Code of Store</li> <li>• Store compliance with minimum standardy/dim Code of Store</li> <li>• Community discussion to drag on granulamination of analysis of</li></ul>	<ul> <li>- induce to kyoto and/or values to introduce to introduce the interact efficiency.</li> <li>- interact and/or values to introduce to interact of the interact</li></ul>


	<ul> <li>Stress for all personnel involved, which could lead to occupational health and safety issues</li> <li>Criminal penalties:         <ul> <li>Failure to Report and/or Failure to Protect offence,</li> <li>Penalties under the Reportable Conduct Scheme</li> </ul> </li> <li>Non-compliance with minimum standards/Min Order 870</li> <li>Breach of duty of care/organisational duty of care</li> <li>Litigation / adverse court ruling</li> <li>Reputation damage (School/Department)</li> <li>Community dissatisfaction and distrust over perceived child safety neglect, which could lead to drop in school enrolments</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>6. Regular student head counts</li> <li>7. All staff members at the camp or excursion have been trained in what to do when an allegation of child abuse is made</li> <li>8. Recording your actions: responding to suspected child abuse template is readily available to all staff</li> <li>9. child safety risk management strategies are adopted as appropriate</li> <li>10. Volunteer screening / suitability checks are undertaken in line with the school's Volunteer Policy, including for homestay providers.</li> <li>11. Code of Conduct applies in all school contexts.</li> </ul>					
Risk Title         There is a risk that a child safety incident occurs during a community event.         Sisk short description         Child safety incident at school / community event e.g. Harmony Night where a range of unfamiliar people attend the school after hours	<ul> <li>Causes <ul> <li>Lack of awareness of local conditions (unknown people)</li> <li>School fails to monitor who is in vicinity of school grounds and buildings</li> <li>Lack of supervision</li> <li>Inappropriate student behaviour</li> <li>Failure to consider child safety risks</li> </ul> </li> <li>Consequences <ul> <li>Child safety incident occurs</li> <li>Underreporting of child safety incidents to relevant authorities</li> <li>Inappropriate behaviour not reported within school</li> <li>Physical or psychological injury</li> <li>Stress for all personnel involved, which could lead to occupational health and safety issues</li> <li>Criminal penalties: <ul> <li>Failure to Report and/or Failure to Protect offence,</li> <li>Penalties under the Reportable Conduct Scheme</li> </ul> </li> <li>Non-compliance with minimum standards/Min Order 870</li> <li>Breach of duty of care/organisational duty of care</li> <li>Litigation / adverse court ruling</li> <li>Reputation damage (School/Department)</li> </ul> </li> <li>Community dissatisfaction and distrust over perceived child safety neglect, which could lead to drop in school enrolments</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Appropriate school approvals for event including risk assessment</li> <li>Staff and volunteers conduct safety audit of area prior to event</li> <li>All staff members have been trained in <u>what to do when an</u> allegation of child abuse is made</li> <li>Recording your actions: responding to suspected child abuse template is readily available to all staff</li> <li>child safety risk management strategies are adopted as appropriate</li> <li>Volunteer screening / suitability checks are undertaken in line with the school's Volunteer Policy</li> <li><u>Code of Conduct</u> applies in all school contexts.</li> </ul>	Severe Major Moderate Minor Insignificant	Almost certain Likely Possible Unlikely Rare	<ul> <li>Event leaders to address any issues a lead the development of treatment solutions.</li> <li>Specific child safety briefing for even staff and volunteers</li> <li>Ensure that staff are regularly remine of their child safety obligations and undergo refresher training</li> <li>Thorough induction process</li> <li>Leadership model child safe culture</li> <li>Appropriate and inappropriate behaviours are discussed regularly at staff meetings so that staff are empowered to escalate concerns</li> <li>Ongoing monitoring and review of st and student work practices and behaviours for 'warning signals' and indicators of abuse – see Identifying, Responding to All Forms of Abuse in Victorian Schools</li> <li>Child safety standard discussion item School Leadership Team meetings ar staff meetings.</li> <li>Ensure all school mandatory reporte (and other school staff if possible) undertake the Protecting Children - Mandatory Reporting and other Obligations online module annually</li> <li>Develop strategies to deliver appropriate education to students (s A Guide to Support Victorian Schools Meet Child Safe Standard 7 and Empowerment and participation of children) about:         <ul> <li>standards of behaviour for students attending the sch</li> <li>healthy and respectful relationships (including sexuality);</li> <li>cresilience; and</li> <li>child abuse awareness and prevention</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	eeto	April 2020 or as required

Risk Title         There is a risk that a child safety incident occurs during interschool sport, Athletics carnivals and Gala days.         Risk short description         Child safety incident occurs during interschool sport, athletic carnivals or gala days	Causes      Lack of awareness of local conditions (unknown people)     School fails to monitor who is in vicinity of venue and buildings     Lack of supervision     Inappropriate student behaviour     Failure to consider child safety risks Consequences     Child safety incident occurs     Underreporting of child safety incidents to relevant authorities     Inappropriate behaviour not reported within school     Physical or psychological injury     Stress for all personnel involved, which could lead to occupational health and safety issues     Criminal penalties: <ul> <li>Failure to Report and/or Failure to Protect offence,</li> <li>Penalties under the Reportable Conduct Scheme</li> </ul> <li>Non-compliance with minimum standards/Min Order 870</li> <li>Breach of duty of care/organisational duty of care</li> <li>Litigation / adverse court ruling</li> <li>Reputation damage (School/Department)</li> <li>Community dissatisfaction and distrust over perceived child safety neglect, which could lead to drop in school enrolments</li>	<ul> <li>Appropriate school approvals for event including risk assessment</li> <li>Staff and volunteers conduct safety audit of area prior to event</li> <li>All staff members have been trained in <u>what to do when an</u> allegation of child abuse is made</li> <li>Recording your actions: responding to suspected child abuse template is readily available to all staff</li> <li>child safety risk management strategies are adopted as appropriate</li> <li>Volunteer screening / suitability checks are undertaken in line with the school's Volunteer Policy</li> <li>Code of Conduct applies in all school contexts.</li> </ul>	Severe Major Moderate Minor Insignificant Almost certain Likely Possible Unlikely Rare	<ul> <li>Event leaders to address any issues and lead the development of treatment solutions.</li> <li>Specific child safety briefing for event staff and volunteers</li> <li>Ensure that staff are regularly reminded of their child safety obligations and undergo refresher training</li> <li>Thorough induction process</li> <li>Leadership model child safe culture</li> <li>Appropriate and inappropriate behaviours are discussed regularly at staff meetings so that staff are empowered to escalate concerns</li> <li>Ongoing monitoring and review of staff and student work practices and behaviours for 'warning signals' and indicators of abuse – see Identifying and Responding to All Forms of Abuse in Victorian Schools</li> <li>Child safety standard discussion item on School Leadership Team meetings and staff meetings.</li> <li>Ensure all school mandatory reporters (and other school staff if possible) undertake the Protecting Children - Mandatory Reporting and other Obligations online module annually</li> <li>Develop strategies to deliver appropriate education to students (see A Guide to Support Victorian Schools to Meet Child Safe Standard 7 and Empowerment and participation of children) about:         <ul> <li>a. standards of behaviour for students attending the school;</li> <li>b. healthy and respectful relationships (including sexuality);</li> <li>c. resilience; and</li> <li>d. child abuse awareness and</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ol> <li>Robbie Mallett (Principal)</li> <li>Karen Mackay (Assistant Prin)</li> </ol>	April 2020 or as required
Risk Title Child safety incident occurs during a local excursion to points of interest, Clarinda Library, local supermarket, Bald Hill Park Risk short description Child safety incident occurs during a local excursion to points of interest, Clarinda Library, local supermarket, Bald Hill Park	Causes         • Lack of awareness of local conditions (unknown people)         • School fails to monitor who is in vicinity of venue and buildings         • Lack of supervision         • Inappropriate student behaviour         • Failure to consider child safety risks         Consequences         • Child safety incident occurs         • Underreporting of child safety incidents to relevant authorities         • Inappropriate behaviour not reported within school         • Physical or psychological injury         • Stress for all personnel involved, which could lead to occupational health and safety issues         • Criminal penalties:         • Failure to Report and/or Failure to Protect offence,         • Penalties under the Reportable Conduct Scheme         • Non-compliance with minimum standards/Min Order 870         • Breach of duty of care/organisational duty of care         • Litigation / adverse court ruling         • Reputation damage (School/Department)         Community dissatisfaction and distrust over perceived child safety neglect, which could lead to drop in school enrolments	<ul> <li>Appropriate school approvals for event including risk assessment</li> <li>Staff and volunteers conduct safety audit of area prior to event</li> <li>All staff members have been trained in <u>what to do when an</u> allegation of child abuse is made</li> <li><u>Recording your actions:</u> responding to suspected child abuse template is readily available to all staff</li> <li><u>child safety risk management</u> <u>strategies</u> are adopted as appropriate</li> <li>Volunteer screening / suitability checks are undertaken in line with the school's Volunteer Policy</li> <li><u>Code of Conduct</u> applies in all school contexts.</li> </ul>	Severe Major Moderate Minor Insignificant Rare	preventionImage: Image:	<ol> <li>Robbie Mallett (Principal)</li> <li>Karen Mackay (Assistant Prin)</li> </ol>	April 2020 or as required

			<ul> <li>9. Ensure all school mandatory reporters (and other school staff if possible) undertake the <u>Protecting Children -</u> <u>Mandatory Reporting and other</u> <u>Obligations online module</u> annually</li> <li>10. Develop strategies to deliver appropriate education to students (see <u>A Guide to</u> <u>Support Victorian Schools to Meet Child</u> <u>Safe Standard 7</u> and <u>Empowerment and</u> <u>participation of children</u>) about:</li> <li>a. standards of behaviour for students attending the school;</li> <li>b.healthy and respectful relationships (including sexuality);</li> <li>c. resilience; and d.child abuse awareness and prevention</li> </ul>
--	--	--	---

# **DET Risk Process**





Risk can be described as anything; event, practice, process, activity, etc. that could hinder or help achievement of stated goals or objectives.

## **DET Risk Management Framework - Assessment Tools**

### **Consequence Criteria:** This guide provides indicative terms against which the significance of risk is evaluated.

Descriptor	Student Outcomes	Wellbeing and Safety	Finance	Reputation	Operations	Strategic
Insignificant	<ul> <li>Educational outcomes, engagement and wellbeing and pathways and transitions can be met with workarounds</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Minor injury requiring no first aid or peer support for stress / trauma event</li> </ul>	Small loss that can be absorbed	<ul> <li>Internal impact (no external impact)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>School operations continue with slight interruptions to normal activities</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Goals, targets and key improvement strategies can be delivered with inconsequential impacts</li> </ul>
Minor	<ul> <li>Educational outcomes, engagement and wellbeing and pathways and transitions achieved but below targets</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Injury / ill health requiring first aid</li> <li>Peer support for stress / trauma event</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Loss of 'consumable' assets,</li> <li>&lt; 2% deviation from budget</li> <li>Minor fraud possible</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Adverse comments local community media</li> <li>Short term stakeholder dissatisfaction / comment</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Some school operations disrupted</li> <li>Minor workarounds return school to normal operations</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Minor workaround need to be implemented to deliver the SSP goals, targets and key improvement strategies</li> </ul>
Moderate	<ul> <li>Students' overall levels of Literacy and Numeracy static</li> <li>Increasing truancy</li> <li>Partial achievement of targeted pathways and transition</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Injury / ill health requiring medical attention</li> <li>Stress / trauma event requiring professional support</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Loss of assets</li> <li>2% - 5% deviation from budget</li> <li>External audit management letter</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>External scrutiny e.g. VAGO</li> <li>Adverse state media comment</li> <li>Stakeholder relationship impacted</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Key school operations temporarily disrupted</li> <li>School leadership team meets to return school to normal operations</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Constant consultation with key stakeholders needs to be maintained to deliver the SSP goals, targets and key improvement strategies</li> </ul>
Major	<ul> <li>National targeted improvements not achieved</li> <li>Partial achievement of targeted learning outcomes</li> <li>Student dissatisfaction with access to pathways / transitions</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Injury / ill health requiring hospital admission</li> <li>Stress / trauma event requiring ongoing clinical support</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Loss of significant assets</li> <li>6% - 15% deviation from budget</li> <li>External audit qualification on accounts</li> <li>High end fraud committed</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>External investigation</li> <li>Adverse comments national media</li> <li>Stakeholder relationship tenuous</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Whole of school operations disrupted</li> <li>Assistance sought from Regional Office</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Significant adjustment to resource allocation and service delivery required to deliver SSP goals, targets and key improvement strategies</li> </ul>
Severe	<ul> <li>Literacy and Numeracy decline</li> <li>Student engagement and connectedness to the school and their peers is very poor</li> <li>Declining number of student options for pathways and transitions</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Fatality or permanent disability</li> <li>Stress / trauma event requiring extensive clinical support for multiple individuals</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Loss of key assets</li> <li>&gt;15 % deviation from budget</li> <li>Systemic and high value fraud</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Commission of inquiry</li> <li>National front page headlines</li> <li>Stakeholder relationship irretrievably damaged</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Normal school operations cease</li> <li>School evacuated</li> <li>Regional Office notified</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>SSP goals, targets and key improvement strategies cannot be delivered</li> <li>Changes need to be made to the SSP</li> </ul>

**Likelihood Criteria:** This guide provides the indicative terms against which the probability of a risk event occurrence is evaluated.

Descriptor	Description	Indicative %	Indicative Frequency	
Almost Certain	Expected to occur	>95%	Multiple times in the next year	Note: 1. The Likelihood Criteria refers to the likelihood of
Likely	Probably will occur (no surprise)	66-95%	At least once in the next year	the consequence descriptor you have selected i.e. the likelihood of a 'major' consequence.
Possible	May occur at some stage	26-65%	Once in the next 3 years	2. The <b>Indicative Frequency</b> may not be relevant when assessing risks related to repeated activities,
Unlikely	Would be surprising if it occurred	5-25%	Once in the next 5 years	or when objectives are to be delivered over discrete periods of time. It should not be the sole basis for
Rare	May never occur	<5%	Once in the next 10 years	assessment.

DET's Control Effectiveness: Indicates the self-assessment of control effectiveness.

Controls Effectiv	Controls Effectiveness Rating and Criteria						
Ineffective	<ul> <li>The design of controls overall, is ineffective in addressing key causes and/or consequences.</li> <li>Documentation and/or communication of the controls does not exist (e.g. policies, procedures, etc.).</li> <li>The controls are not in operation or have not yet been implemented.</li> </ul>						
Needs Improvement	<ul> <li>The design of controls only partially addresses key causes and/or consequences.</li> <li>Documentation and/or communication of the controls (e.g. policies, procedures, etc.) are incomplete, unclear or inconsistent.</li> <li>The controls are not operating consistently and/or effectively and have not been implemented in full.</li> </ul>						
Acceptable	<ul> <li>The design of controls is largely adequate and effective in addressing key causes and/or consequences.</li> <li>The controls (e.g. policies, procedures, etc.) have been formally documented but not proactively communicated to relevant stakeholders.</li> <li>The controls are largely operating in a satisfactory manner and are providing some level of assurance.</li> </ul>						
Effective	<ul> <li>The design of controls is adequate and effective in addressing the key causes and/or consequences.</li> <li>The controls (e.g. policies, procedures, etc.) have been formally documented and proactively communicated to relevant stakeholders.</li> <li>The controls overall, are operating effectively so as to manage the risk.</li> </ul>						

**DET's Risk Rating Matrix:** Used to combine consequence with likelihood to determine the overall level of risk.

Risk	Rating Matrix	Consequence						
		Insignificant	Minor	Moderate	Major	Severe		
	Almost Certain	Medium	High	Extreme	Extreme	Extreme		
po	Likely	Medium	Medium	High	Extreme	Extreme		
Likelihood	Possible	Low	Medium	Medium	High	Extreme		
Lik	Unlikely	Low	Low	Medium	Medium	High		
	Rare	Low	Low	Low	Medium	Medium		

### **DET's Acceptability Chart:** Used to decide whether the risk is acceptable, based on the rating calculated.

Extreme = Unacceptable (must have Principal / School Council / Regional Office oversight)	Immediately consider whether the activity associated with this risk should cease. Any decision to continue exposure to this level of risk should be made at Principal / School Council / Regional Office level, be subject to the development of detailed treatments, on-going oversight and high level review.
High = Tolerable (with ongoing Principal Class Officer review)	Risk should be reduced by developing treatments. It should be subject to on-going review to ensure controls remain effective, and the benefits balance against the risk. Escalation of this level of risk to Principal Class Officer level should occur.
Medium = Tolerable (with frequent risk owner review)	Exposure to the risk may continue, provided it has been appropriately assessed and has been managed to as low as reasonably practicable. It should be subject to frequent review to ensure the risk analysis remains valid and the controls effective. Treatments to reduce the risk can be considered.

Exposure to this risk is acceptable, but is subject to periodic review to ensure it does not increase and current control effectiveness does not vary.

11